Non-principal ultrafilters, program extraction and higher order reverse mathematics

Alexander P. Kreuzer

ENS Lyon

Universität Bern
Seminar Logic and Theoretical Computer Science
November 14th, 2013

Outline

1. Reverse mathematics
2. Higher order reverse mathematics
   - Functional interpretation
3. Ultrafilters
   - The results
4. The general concept
5. Summary

Reverse mathematics

Reverse mathematics is a program which establishes which set existence axioms are necessary to prove a theorem.

- The usual systems of reverse mathematics are two-sorted.
  - One sort for $\mathbb{N}$ and
  - one for subsets of $\mathbb{N}$.
- Base system RCA_0.
  RCA_0 contains
  - basic arithmetic, Σ^0_1-induction,
  - the statement that all computable sets exist.
- Question in Reverse mathematics is:
  To what (set-existence) axioms is a theorem equivalent relative to RCA_0?

Example: Monotone convergence principle

Each increasing sequence of $(x_n) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ in $[0,1]$ has a supremum.

- This can be formulated in RCA_0 in the following way.
  - Rational numbers $x = \frac{p}{q}$ will be coded as a pair $\langle p, q \rangle := 2^p \cdot 3^q$.
  - The sequence $x_n = \frac{p_n}{q_n}$ will be coded as the set

$$\{\langle n, 2^{p_n} \cdot 3^{q_n} \rangle \colon n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

  - Want: for each n a 2^{-n} good approximation to the supremum.
- Solution:

$$\{\langle n, q_m \rangle \mid \forall m' > m \ (q_{m'} -_{\mathbb{Q}} q_m <_{\mathbb{Q}} 2^{-n})\}$$

- This set is build by arithmetical quantification, i.e. contains quantification of natural numbers.
- The monotone convergence principle is equivalent to the corresponding system ACA_0.

Reverse mathematics

- Many theorems form mathematics can be analyzed this way.
- Most of them can be show to be equivalent to one of the big five systems.

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0 \leftarrow \mathsf{WKL}_0 \leftarrow \mathsf{ACA}_0 \leftarrow \mathsf{ATR}_0 \leftarrow \Pi^1_1\text{-}\mathsf{CA}_0$$

Higher order statement cannot be formulated in these systems.

Higher order arithmetic

Definition (RCA_0^ω, Recursive comprehension, Kohlenbach '05)

RCA_0^ω is the finite type extension of RCA_0:

- Sorted into type 0 for $\mathbb{N}$, type 1 for $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$, type 2 for $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}}$, $\ldots$,
- contains basic arithmetic: 0, successor, $+$, $\cdot$, λ-abstraction,
- quantifier-free axiom of choice restricted to choice of numbers over functions ($\mathsf{QF\text{-}AC}^{1,0}$), i.e.,

$$\forall f^1\, \exists y^0\, \mathsf{A}_{qf}(f, y) \rightarrow \exists G^2\, \forall f^1\, \mathsf{A}_{qf}(f, G(f))$$

- and a recursor R_0, which provides primitive recursion (for numbers),

$$R_0(0, y^0, f) = y, \qquad R_0(x+1, y, f) = f(R_0(x, y, f), x),$$

- Σ_1^0-induction.

The closed terms of RCA_0^ω will be denoted by T_0.
In Kohlenbach's books this system is denoted by $\widehat{\mathsf{E\text{-}PA}}^\omega\!\upharpoonright + \mathsf{QF\text{-}AC}^{1,0}$.

Functional interpretation

Theorem (Functional interpretation)

If

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega \vdash \forall x \, \exists y \, \mathsf{A}_{qf}(x, y)$$

the one can extract a term $t \in T_0$*, such that*

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega \vdash \forall x \, \mathsf{A}_{qf}(x, t(x)).$$

Sketch of proof.

Apply the following proof translations:

- Elimination of extensionality,
- a negative translation,
- Gödel's Dialectica translation. □

See Kohlenbach: Applied Proof Theory.

The intuition behind the functional interpretation

Each formula can be assigned an equivalent $\forall\exists$-formula.
E.g.

$$A :\equiv \forall x \, \exists y \, \forall z \, A_{qf}(x, y, z)$$

will be assigned

$$A^{ND} \equiv \forall x \, \forall f_z \, \exists y \, A_{qf}(x, y, f_z(y)).$$

- This assignment preserves logical rules, like

$$\frac{A \qquad A \to B}{B},$$

  and exhibits programs.
- Thus, to prove the program extraction theorem we only have to provide programs for the axioms.

Arithmetical comprehension

Let Π^0_1-CA be the schema

$$\forall f \, \exists g \, \forall n \, \left(g(n) = 0 \leftrightarrow \forall x \, f(n,x) = 0 \right).$$

Define ACA^ω_0 to be $\mathsf{RCA}^\omega_0 + \Pi^0_1$-CA.

Let Feferman's μ be

$$\mu(f) := \begin{cases} \min\{x \mid f(x) = 0\} & \text{if } \exists x \, f(x) = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Denote by (μ) be the statement that μ exists.

Theorem

- $\mathsf{RCA}^\omega_0 + (\mu) \vdash \Pi^0_1$-CA
- $\mathsf{RCA}^\omega_0 + (\mu)$ *is* Π^1_2*-conservative over* ACA^ω_0

Theorem (Functional interpretation relative to μ)

If

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \forall x\, \exists y\, \mathsf{A}_{qf}(x,y)$$

the one can extract a term $t \in T_0[\mu]$, such that

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \forall x\, \mathsf{A}_{qf}(x, t(x)).$$

We interpreted ACA_0^ω non-constructively using μ.
One can also interpret ACA_0^ω directly using bar recursion.
See Avigad, Feferman in Handbook of Proof Theory

Filter

Filter

A set $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is a *filter over* $\mathbb{N}$ if

- $\forall X, Y\ (X \in \mathcal{F} \land X \subseteq Y \to Y \in \mathcal{F})$,
- $\forall X, Y\ (X, Y \in \mathcal{F} \to X \cap Y \in \mathcal{F})$,
- $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$

Ultrafilter

A filter $\mathcal{F}$ is an *ultrafilter* if it is maximal, i.e.,
$\forall X\ \left(X \in \mathcal{F} \lor \overline{X} \in \mathcal{F}\right)$

$\mathcal{P}_n := \{X \subseteq \mathbb{N} \mid n \in X\}$ is an ultrafilter. These filters are called *principal*.

The Fréchet filter $\{X \subseteq \mathbb{N} \mid X \text{ cofinite}\}$ is a filter but not an ultrafilter.

Non-principal ultrafilters

A set $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is a *non-principal ultrafilter over* $\mathbb{N}$ if

- $\forall X \left(X \in \mathcal{U} \vee \overline{X} \in \mathcal{U}\right)$,
- $\forall X, Y \ (X \in \mathcal{U} \wedge X \subseteq Y \rightarrow Y \in \mathcal{U})$,
- $\forall X, Y \ (X, Y \in \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X \cap Y \in \mathcal{U})$,
- $\forall X \ (X \in \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X$ is infinite$)$.

The existence of a non-principal ultrafilter is not provable in ZF.

Non-principal ultrafilters

A set $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is a *non-principal ultrafilter over* $\mathbb{N}$ if

- $\forall X \; \big(X \in \mathcal{U} \vee \overline{X} \in \mathcal{U}\big)$,
- $\forall X, Y \; (X \in \mathcal{U} \wedge X \subseteq Y \to Y \in \mathcal{U})$,
- $\forall X, Y \; (X, Y \in \mathcal{U} \to X \cap Y \in \mathcal{U})$,
- $\forall X \; (X \in \mathcal{U} \to X$ is infinite$)$.

Coding sets as characteristic function, i.e, $n \in X :\equiv [X(n) = 0]$, this can be formulated in RCA_0^ω:

$$(\mathcal{U}) : \begin{cases} \exists \mathcal{U}^2 \, (\, \forall X^1 \; \big(X \in \mathcal{U} \vee \overline{X} \in \mathcal{U}\big) \\ \qquad \wedge \forall X^1, Y^1 \; (X \cap Y \in \mathcal{U} \to Y \in \mathcal{U}) \\ \qquad \wedge \forall X^1, Y^1 \; (X, Y \in \mathcal{U} \to (X \cap Y) \in \mathcal{U}) \\ \qquad \wedge \forall X^1 \; (X \in \mathcal{U} \to \forall n \, \exists k > n \, (k \in X)) \\ \qquad \wedge \forall X^1 \; (\mathcal{U}(X) =_0 \mathrm{sg}(\mathcal{U}(X)) =_0 \mathcal{U}(\lambda n. \, \mathrm{sg}(X(n)))) \,) \end{cases}$$

Lower bound on the strength of $\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U})$

Theorem (K.)

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U}) \vdash (\mu)$$

In particular, $\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U})$ *proves arithmetical comprehension.*

Proof.

Let $f\colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ and set $X_f := \{n \mid \exists m \leq n \ f(m) = 0\}$.
Then

$$\begin{aligned} \exists n\,(f(n) = 0) &\iff X_f \text{ is cofinite} \\ &\iff X_f \in \mathcal{U} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\forall f\,(X_f \in \mathcal{U} \to \exists n\,(f(n) = 0 \land \forall n' < n\, f(n) \neq 0))$$

$\mathsf{QF\text{-}AC}^{1,0}$ yields a functional satisfying (μ). □

Upper bound on the strength of $\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U})$

Theorem (K.)

$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U})$ *is* Π^1_2*-conservative over* $\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu)$ *and thus also over* ACA_0^ω*.*

Proof sketch

Suppose $\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U}) \vdash \forall f\, \exists g\, \mathsf{A}(f,g)$ and A does not contain $\mathcal{U}$.

1. The functional interpretation yields a term $t \in T_0[\mu]$, such that

$$\forall f\, \mathsf{A}(f, t(\mathcal{U}, f)).$$

2. Normalizing t, such that each occurrence of $\mathcal{U}$ in t is of the form

$$\mathcal{U}(t'(n^0)) \qquad \text{for a term } t'(n^0) \in T_0[\mathcal{U}, \mu, f].$$

   In particular, $\mathcal{U}$ is only used on countably many sets (for each fixed f).

3. Build in $\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu)$ a filter which acts on these sets as ultrafilter.

Step 1: Functional interpretation

Suppose $\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U}) \vdash \forall f^1\, \exists g^1\, \mathsf{A}(f,g)$
where A is arithmetical and does not contain $\mathcal{U}$.

Modulo μ the formula A is quantifier-free.
Recall $(\mathcal{U})$:

$$(\mathcal{U}):\ \begin{cases} \exists \mathcal{U}^2\, (\ \forall X^1\, \left(X \in \mathcal{U} \vee \overline{X} \in \mathcal{U}\right) \\ \qquad \wedge \forall X^1, Y^1\, (X \cap Y \in \mathcal{U} \to Y \in \mathcal{U}) \\ \qquad \wedge \forall X^1, Y^1\, (X, Y \in \mathcal{U} \to (X \cap Y) \in \mathcal{U}) \\ \qquad \wedge \forall X^1\, (X \in \mathcal{U} \to \forall n\, \exists k > n\, (k \in X)) \\ \qquad \wedge \forall X^1\, (\mathcal{U}(X) =_0 \mathrm{sg}(\mathcal{U}(X)) =_0 \mathcal{U}(\lambda n.\, \mathrm{sg}(X(n))))\,) \end{cases}$$

Modulo $\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu)$ this is of the form $\exists \mathcal{U}^2\, \forall Z^1\, (\mathcal{U})_{\mathit{qf}}(\mathcal{U}, Z)$.
Thus

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \forall \mathcal{U}^2\, \forall f^1\, \exists Z^1\, \exists g^1\, \Big((\mathcal{U})_{\mathit{qf}}(\mathcal{U}, Z) \to \mathsf{A}_{\mathit{qf}}(f,g)\Big).$$

Step 1: Functional interpretation (cont.)

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \forall \mathcal{U}^2 \, \forall f^1 \, \exists Z^1 \, \exists g^1 \Big((\mathcal{U})_{\mathsf{qf}}(\mathcal{U}, Z) \to \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{qf}}(f, g) \Big).$$

The functional interpretation extracts terms $t_Z, t_g \in T_0[\mu]$, such that

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \forall \mathcal{U}^2 \, \forall f^1 \Big((\mathcal{U})_{\mathsf{qf}}(\mathcal{U}, t_Z(\mathcal{U}, f)) \to \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{qf}}(f, t_g(\mathcal{U}, f)) \Big).$$

Step 2: Term normalization

The terms t_Z, t_g are made of

- 0, successor, $+$, $\cdot$, λ-abstraction
- the primitive recursor R_0, i.e.

$$R_0(0, y, f) = y, \qquad R_0(x+1, y, f) = f(R_0(x, y, f), x),$$

- μ^2 and
- the parameters $\mathcal{U}^2$, f^1.

With coding R_0 is of type 2. The functional $\mathcal{U}$ is also of type 2.
$\Longrightarrow$ no functional can take $\mathcal{U}$ as parameter.

Lemma

The terms t_Z, t_g can be normalized, such that each occurrence of $\mathcal{U}$ is of the form

$$\mathcal{U}(t'(n^0)) \qquad \textit{for a term } t' \textit{ possible containing } \mathcal{U}, f.$$

Step 2: Term normalization (cont.)

Proof.

Consider $t[\mathcal{U}, f, n^0]$, where $\mathcal{U}, f, n^0$ are variables.
Assume that all possible λ-reductions haven been carried out. Then one of the following holds:

1. $t = 0$,
2. $t = S(t'_1)$, $t = f(t'_1)$, $t = t'_1 + t'_2$, $t(n) = t'_1 \cdot t'_2$,
3. $t = \mu(t'_g)$, $t = \mathcal{U}(t'_g)$, $t = R_0(t'_1, t'_2, t'_g)$.

Restart the procedure with t'_1, t'_2 and $t'_g m^0$. □

Step 3: Construction of (a substitute for) $\mathcal{U}$

We fix an f and construct a filter $\mathcal{F}$, such that

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash (\mathcal{U})_{\mathit{qf}}(\mathcal{F}, t_Z(\mathcal{F}, f)). \qquad (*)$$

This yields then

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \forall f\, \mathsf{A}_{\mathit{qf}}(f, t_g(\mathcal{F}, f))$$

and thus the theorem.

Let $t_1, \ldots, t_k$ be the list term with $\mathcal{U}(t_j(n))$ in t_Z, t_g.

- Assume that $t_1, \ldots$ is ordered according to the subterm ordering.
- We start with the trivial filter $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\mathbb{N}\}$.
- For each t_i we build a refined $\mathcal{F}_i \supseteq \mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ such that $(\mathcal{U})_{\mathit{qf}}$ relativized the sets coded by $t_1, \ldots, t_i$ holds.
- $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}_k$ solves then $(*)$.

Step 3: Sketch of the construction of $\mathcal{F}_1$

Let $\mathcal{A} := \{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$ be the set of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ coded by t_1.
We assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under union, intersection and inverse.

We want a filter $\mathcal{F}_1$, such that

- $\forall X \in \mathcal{A} \left(X \in \mathcal{F}_1 \vee \overline{X} \in \mathcal{F}_1 \right)$,
- $\forall X, Y \in \mathcal{A} \, (X \in \mathcal{F}_1 \wedge X \subseteq Y \rightarrow Y \in \mathcal{F}_1)$,
- $\forall X, Y \in \mathcal{A} \, (X, Y \in \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow X \cap Y \in \mathcal{F}_1)$,
- $\forall X \in \mathcal{A} \, (X \in \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow X$ is infinite).

Construction:

- We decide for each $i = 1, 2, \dots$ whether we put A_i or $\overline{A_i}$ into $\mathcal{F}_1$.
- We put A_i into $\mathcal{F}_1$ if the *intersection of* A_i *with the previously chosen sets* is infinite. Otherwise we put $\overline{A_i}$ into $\mathcal{F}_1$.

Program extraction

Corollary (to the proof)

If $\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U}) \vdash \forall f\, \exists g\, \mathsf{A}_{qf}(f,g)$ *and* A_{qf} *does not contain* $\mathcal{U}$ *then one can extract a term* $t \in T_0[\mu]$*, such that*

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \mathsf{A}_{qf}(f, t(f)).$$

Corollary

If $\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U}) \vdash \forall f\, \exists g\, \mathsf{A}_{qf}(f,g)$ *and* A_{qf} *does not contain* $\mathcal{U}$ *then one can extract a term* t *in Gödel's System* T*, such that*

$$\mathsf{A}_{qf}(f, t(f))$$

Proof.

- The previous corollary yields a term primitive recursive in μ.
- Interpreting the term using the bar recursor $B_{0,1}$ and then using Howard's ordinal analysis gives a term $t \in T$. □

The general concept

The proof theory

- Functional interpretation (Step 1)
- Term normalization (Step 2)

Extension to

- abstract types (Günzel, ongoing work),
- type 3 operators, e.g. Lebesgue measure defined on all subsets of unit interval. (K. '13)

The combinatorics

Construction of the partial ultrafilter on the countable algebra. (Step 3)

Extension to

- idempotent ultrafilters by using *iterated Hindman's theorem* (K. '12),
- possibly other type 2 operators.

Possible Applications

Possible Applications:

- Program extraction for ultralimit arguments e.g.,
  - from fixed point theory,
  - Gromov's Theorem,
  - Ergodic theory.
- Program extraction for non-standard arguments.

Summary

- Program extraction and conservativity for non-principal ultrafilters.
- The Π^1_2-consequences of $\mathsf{RCA}^\omega_0 + (\mathcal{U})$ and the Π^1_2-consequences of ACA^ω_0 are the same.

Thank you for your attention!

References

Alexander P. Kreuzer
Non-principal ultrafilters, program extraction and higher order reverse mathematics
J. Mathematical Logic **12** (2012), no. 1.

Alexander P. Kreuzer
On idempotent ultrafilters in higher-order reverse mathematics
preprint, arXiv:1208.1424.

Alexander P. Kreuzer
Minimal idempotent ultrafilters and the Auslander-Ellis theorem
preprint, arXiv:1305.6530.